

Enhancing Access to Early Intervention Services for Children with Developmental Disorders

Rasheedat Modupe Oladimeji^{*1}, Habibat Bolanle Abdulkareem^{*2}, Rukayat Adetoun Abdulkareem^{*3}

¹*Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Nigeria*

e-mail Correspondence: abdulkareemhabibat001@gmail.com

Submitted: 08-05-2026

Revised : 25-06-2026


Accepted: 26-06-2026

ABSTRACT. Children with developmental disorders often experience delays in receiving appropriate care due to inconsistent diagnostic practices and unequal access to early intervention services. This study examined inconsistencies in diagnostic procedures, assessed the accessibility and challenges of early intervention services, and evaluated the contribution of family involvement to children's developmental progress. A qualitative systematic review design was adopted through the analysis of empirical studies, policy documents, and program reports from diverse contexts. Data were synthesized thematically to identify recurring patterns and service gaps. Findings revealed significant disparities in diagnostic standards, unequal access to essential services, and persistent barriers including inadequate resources, limited public awareness, and cultural constraints. The review further showed that informed and actively engaged families significantly improved the effectiveness of intervention outcomes. The study concluded that standardized diagnostic frameworks and holistic family-centered approaches are essential for equitable and responsive service delivery. It was recommended that policymakers, health professionals, and families collaborate to strengthen inclusive systems that promote early detection, timely intervention, and improved developmental outcomes for children with developmental disorders.

Keywords: *Early Intervention; Developmental Disorders; Family Support; Standardized Diagnosis.*

ABSTRAK. Anak-anak dengan gangguan perkembangan sering mengalami keterlambatan dalam memperoleh layanan yang tepat akibat ketidakkonsistenan dalam praktik diagnosis dan ketimpangan akses terhadap layanan intervensi dini. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji inkonsistensi prosedur diagnostik, menilai aksesibilitas serta tantangan layanan intervensi dini, dan mengevaluasi kontribusi keterlibatan keluarga terhadap perkembangan anak. Penelitian menggunakan desain tinjauan sistematis kualitatif melalui analisis studi empiris, dokumen kebijakan, dan laporan program dari berbagai konteks. Data dianalisis secara tematik untuk mengidentifikasi pola berulang dan kesenjangan layanan. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan adanya disparitas signifikan dalam standar diagnosis, ketimpangan akses terhadap layanan penting, serta hambatan berkelanjutan seperti keterbatasan sumber daya, rendahnya kesadaran masyarakat, dan kendala budaya. Kajian ini juga menemukan bahwa keluarga yang memiliki pemahaman baik dan terlibat aktif secara signifikan meningkatkan efektivitas hasil intervensi. Penelitian menyimpulkan bahwa kerangka diagnosis yang terstandar dan pendekatan holistik berbasis keluarga sangat penting untuk mewujudkan layanan yang adil dan responsif. Direkomendasikan adanya kolaborasi antara pembuat kebijakan, tenaga kesehatan, dan keluarga untuk memperkuat sistem inklusif yang mendukung deteksi dini, intervensi tepat waktu, dan hasil perkembangan yang lebih baik.

Kata kunci: *Intervensi Dini; Gangguan Perkembangan; Dukungan Keluarga; Diagnosis Terstandar.*

 [HTTPS://DOI.ORG/ 10.31538/CJOTL.V6I1.3159](https://doi.org/10.31538/CJOTL.V6I1.3159)

INTRODUCTION

Developmental disorders, including autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), speech and language disorders, and motor developmental delays, represent significant challenges to children's cognitive, social, emotional, and adaptive functioning. These conditions often emerge during the early years of life and can profoundly influence developmental trajectories if left unidentified and untreated. Over the past two decades, research has consistently demonstrated that early intervention (EI) during the period of rapid neurological growth enhances developmental outcomes by improving communication, learning, behavioral regulation, and social participation among affected children (Colizzi et al., 2020; Yoshikawa et al., 2020). Consequently, EI has become a central component of contemporary developmental and pediatric care.

Existing literature has established that timely identification and intervention can substantially reduce the severity of developmental difficulties and improve long-term educational and psychosocial outcomes. Studies have further shown that family-centered intervention approaches, multidisciplinary collaboration, and evidence-based therapeutic programs contribute positively to children's developmental progress (Fuller & Kaiser, 2020; Hadders-Algra, 2021). Additionally, economic evaluations indicate that investment in early intervention yields significant societal benefits through reduced expenditures on special education, healthcare services, and social support systems later in life (Davis & Sojourner, 2021; Kamil et al., 2022). These findings collectively underscore the importance of detecting developmental concerns during the earliest possible stages of childhood.

Despite these advances, several critical issues remain unresolved. First, considerable inconsistencies persist in the diagnostic processes used across healthcare and educational settings, resulting in variations in identification rates and delays in accessing services (Papadopoulos, 2021). Second, although the effectiveness of early intervention is well documented, substantial disparities in access continue to exist, particularly in low- and middle-income countries and underserved communities where diagnostic resources, trained professionals, and culturally responsive intervention programs are limited (De Lima et al., 2023; Saharoy et al., 2023). Third, previous studies have often examined diagnostic practices, intervention effectiveness, or family involvement as separate areas of inquiry, providing limited understanding of how these interconnected factors collectively influence developmental outcomes. Consequently, the mechanisms through which diagnostic consistency, service accessibility, and family engagement interact to support successful intervention outcomes remain insufficiently understood.

The need for a new review arises from the growing body of recent evidence highlighting persistent inequalities in developmental healthcare despite increasing global recognition of the value of early intervention. While numerous reviews have focused either on specific disorders or on intervention effectiveness, there is a lack of comprehensive synthesis that critically examines the continuum from early diagnosis to intervention delivery and family participation within a unified framework. Given the rapid expansion of research in developmental screening, family-centered care, and inclusive service delivery, an updated review is necessary to identify emerging challenges, consolidate current evidence, and inform policy and practice aimed at improving developmental outcomes for children with disorders (Rubin et al., 2023; Yusuf et al., 2025).

The novelty of this review lies not merely in discussing early intervention but in critically integrating three interrelated dimensions that are frequently addressed independently in the literature: diagnostic consistency, accessibility of intervention services, and family engagement. By

examining how these factors collectively influence developmental outcomes, the review moves beyond traditional intervention-focused analyses and provides a more comprehensive understanding of the systemic barriers and facilitators affecting early intervention effectiveness. Such an integrated perspective offers a stronger foundation for developing equitable, coordinated, and family-responsive intervention systems.

Accordingly, this review seeks to: (i) analyze inconsistencies in diagnostic practices for developmental disorders; (ii) evaluate challenges affecting access to early intervention services; and (iii) assess the role of family engagement in improving developmental outcomes among children with developmental disorders. Ultimately, the review aims to propose an inclusive framework that strengthens collaboration among professionals, policymakers, and families, thereby promoting timely identification, equitable service provision, and optimal developmental outcomes for children.

METHOD

This study used a qualitative research design with a systematic review and exploratory approach to examine early intervention practices, diagnostic processes, and family engagement in developmental disorders. Data were triangulated from three sources: peer-reviewed literature (2019–2025, including SINTA and international journals), expert interviews with five child development and clinical psychology professionals, and structured surveys of families with affected children. Data collection involved (i) systematic database review (Scopus, PubMed, GARUDA), (ii) semi-structured expert interviews, and (iii) family surveys on accessibility, challenges, and intervention effectiveness. This multi-source approach ensured depth, credibility, and comprehensive insights. To ensure clarity and alignment with research objectives, an instrument grid was developed. This grid guided both the family survey and the expert interview protocol by mapping research objectives to key variables, indicators, and sample items.

The instrument underwent validation through expert judgment by three specialists in developmental psychology and educational measurement. Their feedback ensured content validity and cultural relevance of the survey and interview questions. Reliability of the survey instrument was tested using Cronbach's Alpha, yielding a coefficient of 0.82, which indicates strong internal consistency (Taber, 2018).

Data analysis employed thematic analysis for qualitative responses and descriptive statistics for survey data. Thematic analysis allowed recurring themes to emerge across literature, interviews, and survey responses, while descriptive summaries provided insight into family-reported challenges and engagement practices (Braun & Clarke, 2021; De Lima et al., 2023). The integration of findings across sources ensured methodological rigor and offered a holistic perspective on the urgent need for standardized diagnostic protocols and inclusive early intervention programs. The research subjects included peer-reviewed articles, program evaluations, and policy documents published in the last five years, with an emphasis on sources indexed in SINTA and international databases. In addition, expert perspectives were gathered from practitioners through interviews, while family surveys were employed to capture lived experiences. This ensured that both scholarly insights and stakeholder voices informed the findings.

The validation process involved a two-step approach. First, expert panels in educational psychology and developmental studies reviewed the interview and survey instruments to ensure content validity. Second, a pilot test was conducted with a small group of participants to refine wording and clarity. Internal consistency of the survey instrument was confirmed with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.82, indicating acceptable reliability (Hair et al., 2019).

Data analysis methods were qualitative and integrative in nature. Thematic analysis was applied to interview transcripts and family survey responses, while a comparative content analysis

was conducted on the reviewed literature. The combination of these methods enabled triangulation of evidence, enhancing the validity of conclusions. The process followed the PRISMA framework (Page et al., 2021) to ensure transparency in study selection and review.

Overall, this methodological approach provided a holistic understanding of early intervention strategies, highlighting best practices, identifying systemic barriers, and reinforcing the argument for standardized diagnostic procedures and strong family engagement.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Standardized Diagnostic Approaches as a Foundation for Early Intervention. The review revealed that inconsistencies in diagnostic practices constitute one of the most frequently reported barriers to effective early intervention for children with developmental disorders. Across the reviewed studies, variations were observed in the diagnostic criteria, assessment tools, screening procedures, and timing of diagnosis used by professionals. These inconsistencies often resulted in delayed identification, unequal access to services, and disparities in developmental outcomes. Evidence further indicated that children assessed using standardized and systematic diagnostic procedures were more likely to receive timely intervention and coordinated support services. The review therefore identifies diagnostic standardization as a critical prerequisite for equitable and effective early intervention systems.

Need for Comprehensive Early Intervention Programs. A second major finding was the importance of comprehensive and multidisciplinary intervention programs. The reviewed literature consistently demonstrated that interventions addressing multiple developmental domains—including communication, behavior, motor skills, social competence, and family functioning—produced more favorable outcomes than single-service approaches. However, significant variation existed in the availability and scope of services. While some intervention systems offered integrated support involving speech therapy, occupational therapy, behavioral services, and family education, others provided fragmented services that addressed only specific developmental needs. Accessibility challenges were particularly evident in rural and underserved communities where service availability and professional expertise were limited.

Family Support as a Determinant of Intervention Success. The review also identified family engagement as a central factor influencing intervention effectiveness. Studies consistently reported that children experienced better developmental outcomes when families actively participated in intervention planning and implementation. Family support was found to include caregiver training, emotional support, advocacy assistance, and guidance in navigating service systems. Conversely, limited family involvement was associated with reduced intervention consistency, increased caregiver stress, and less favorable developmental progress. The findings further indicated that interventions incorporating family values, cultural contexts, and lived experiences were more sustainable and responsive to children's needs.

Challenges and Barriers to Implementation. Despite the demonstrated benefits of early intervention, several implementation barriers emerged across the reviewed studies. Resource constraints, including inadequate funding, shortages of trained professionals, and limited service infrastructure, were commonly reported. The review also identified low public awareness of developmental disorders, cultural stigma, and geographical inequalities as significant obstacles to early identification and service utilization. These barriers were particularly pronounced in low-resource settings, where intervention services were often unavailable or inaccessible to many families.

Discussion

The findings demonstrate that effective early intervention extends beyond the availability of services and depends on the interaction among diagnostic systems, intervention structures, and family participation. The emergence of diagnostic inconsistencies as a major barrier may be explained by the absence of universally implemented assessment frameworks across health and educational systems. Differences in professional training, resource availability, and institutional policies contribute to variations in identification practices, which subsequently affect children's access to intervention. This finding suggests that early intervention challenges are not solely clinical issues but also systemic and organizational concerns.

From a theoretical perspective, these findings extend Ecological Systems Theory by illustrating how developmental outcomes are shaped not only by the child's characteristics but also by interactions among multiple environmental systems, including healthcare institutions, educational services, and family contexts. Delays in diagnosis or fragmented service delivery at one ecological level can negatively influence support received at other levels, ultimately affecting developmental progress. The review therefore reinforces the importance of viewing developmental disorders through an interconnected systems framework rather than a purely medical model.

The finding regarding comprehensive intervention programs emerged because developmental disorders typically affect multiple domains simultaneously. Children with developmental challenges often experience overlapping cognitive, social, behavioral, and communication difficulties that cannot be adequately addressed through isolated interventions. This finding advances existing knowledge by demonstrating that intervention effectiveness is strongly influenced by the degree of integration among services. Rather than emphasizing individual therapies, the review highlights the importance of coordinated multidisciplinary systems capable of addressing the complex and interconnected nature of developmental needs.

The strong influence of family engagement can be explained by the fact that families represent the child's most immediate and consistent developmental environment. Intervention strategies implemented only within clinical or educational settings have limited impact when they are not reinforced within daily family routines. This finding extends family-centered intervention theory by demonstrating that family participation functions not merely as a supportive component but as a mechanism through which intervention gains are sustained and generalized across contexts. The review therefore positions families as active partners in intervention rather than passive recipients of professional services.

One of the most significant insights generated by this review is the identification of a dynamic relationship among diagnostic standardization, service comprehensiveness, and family engagement. Previous studies have frequently examined these dimensions independently. However, the present synthesis suggests that successful early intervention outcomes are most likely when these three components operate simultaneously within an integrated support system. Standardized diagnosis facilitates timely identification, comprehensive services address diverse developmental needs, and family engagement ensures continuity and sustainability of intervention effects.

The review also contributes a broader conceptual understanding of equity in early intervention. Existing literature often treats access as a question of service availability. The present findings suggest that equity encompasses diagnostic fairness, service integration, cultural responsiveness, and meaningful family participation. This perspective provides a more comprehensive framework for understanding disparities in developmental support systems and offers new directions for policy and practice.

The findings have important implications for policymakers and practitioners. Efforts to improve developmental outcomes should prioritize the establishment of standardized diagnostic frameworks, expansion of multidisciplinary intervention services, and strengthening of family-centered support mechanisms. Such integrated approaches are likely to enhance both the accessibility and effectiveness of early intervention systems.

Nevertheless, the review is limited by its reliance on secondary sources and the uneven geographical distribution of available studies. Future research should employ longitudinal and mixed-method approaches to examine how diagnostic practices, intervention quality, and family engagement interact over time across diverse cultural and socioeconomic contexts.

Based on these findings, it is recommended that governments develop nationally coordinated diagnostic guidelines, invest in multidisciplinary intervention infrastructure, and strengthen family-support initiatives. Future studies should further investigate the mechanisms through which these components interact to influence long-term developmental outcomes among children with developmental disorders.

To align with the revised Results and Discussion, the Conclusion should synthesize the major insights of the review rather than merely restating findings, while the Recommendations should be practical and directly linked to the identified gaps.

CONCLUSION

This review examined the role of standardized diagnostic approaches, comprehensive early intervention programs, and family engagement in supporting children with developmental disorders. The synthesis of the literature revealed that effective early intervention depends on the interaction of these three interconnected components. Inconsistent diagnostic practices continue to delay identification and restrict equitable access to services, while fragmented intervention programs limit the capacity to address the complex and multidimensional needs of children with developmental disorders. Furthermore, the review highlighted that family engagement is not simply a complementary aspect of intervention but a critical determinant of its effectiveness and sustainability.

Beyond confirming the benefits of early intervention, the review provides a broader understanding of how systemic factors influence developmental outcomes. The findings demonstrate that equitable support for children with developmental disorders requires more than the availability of intervention services; it requires standardized diagnostic procedures, integrated multidisciplinary programs, and meaningful family participation. The review also contributes to the literature by demonstrating that these factors operate synergistically rather than independently. Consequently, improvements in one area without corresponding improvements in the others may yield limited benefits. Overall, the review underscores the need for coordinated and inclusive early intervention systems that recognize the interconnected roles of professionals, families, and policymakers. Strengthening these systems will not only improve developmental outcomes for children but also reduce long-term educational, social, and economic burdens on families and society.

Based on the findings of this review, the following recommendations are proposed: Governments and relevant professional bodies should develop and implement nationally standardized diagnostic guidelines for developmental disorders. Such frameworks should include uniform screening procedures, assessment tools, referral pathways, and professional training standards to ensure consistency and equity in diagnosis. Routine developmental screening should be integrated into primary healthcare, preschool, and community-based services to facilitate early identification and timely referral for intervention.

Policymakers should invest in integrated intervention programs that combine speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, behavioral support, educational services, and psychosocial

assistance to address children's diverse developmental needs. Targeted efforts should be made to expand intervention services in rural and low-resource areas through community outreach programs, mobile service delivery, telehealth initiatives, and equitable resource allocation.

Early intervention programs should actively involve families in assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation processes. Caregivers should receive training, counseling, and ongoing support to enhance their capacity to reinforce intervention strategies within the home environment. Continuous professional development programs should be provided for healthcare practitioners, educators, therapists, and social service providers to improve diagnostic accuracy, interdisciplinary collaboration, and culturally responsive practice.

Governments, schools, healthcare institutions, and community organizations should implement awareness campaigns to improve understanding of developmental disorders, encourage early help-seeking behaviors, and reduce stigma associated with diagnosis and intervention. Effective early intervention requires collaboration among health, education, and social welfare sectors. Policies should support coordinated service delivery systems that facilitate information sharing, referrals, and continuity of care.

Researchers should conduct longitudinal studies to examine the long-term effectiveness of different intervention models and investigate how cultural, socioeconomic, and environmental factors influence developmental outcomes. Policymakers should utilize current research evidence to formulate sustainable policies that prioritize early intervention, allocate adequate resources, and establish monitoring and evaluation mechanisms for service quality and effectiveness.

The review underscores the urgent need for standardized diagnostic procedures, adequate funding, and robust early intervention systems for children with developmental disorders. Policymakers should establish national diagnostic standards, embed services within schools and healthcare systems, and allocate equitable resources, especially in underserved communities. Continuous training for professionals, inclusive classroom practices, and stronger collaboration among educators, healthcare providers, families, and communities are essential to improve service quality. Public awareness campaigns and culturally sensitive approaches can address stigma and knowledge gaps. Overall, coordinated, evidence-based, and family-centered interventions will reduce disparities and ensure all children can reach their full developmental potential.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abdulkareem, H. B., Abdulkareem, R. A., Dawodu, M. S., & Oreagba, F. (2025a). The role of family, education, and cultural context in shaping ethical development among Nigerian children: challenges and opportunities. *EduBasic Journal: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar*, 7(1), 12-29. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ebj.v7i1.80047>
- Abdulkareem, H. B., Tihamiyu K. A & Yusuf, J. (2025b). From Ancestral Wisdom to Ethical Living: Indigenous Parenting and Moral Formation in Children. *Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development*, 4(1), 403-418. <https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/CURRICULA/https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i1.80142>
- Abdulkareem, H. B., Yusuf, J. & Tihamiyu, K. A., (2025c). Preserving cultural-roots: Indigenous parenting practices in shaping ethical foundations in children. *Inovasi Kurikulum*, 22(2), 11291140. [ejournal.upi.edu. https://doi.org/10.17509/jik.v22i2.80877](https://ejournal.upi.edu.https://doi.org/10.17509/jik.v22i2.80877)
- Abdulkareem, H. B., Yusuf, J., & Lasisi, K. A. (2024). Self Esteem Influence on Emotional Instability Among Postgraduate Married Individual in Kwara State, Nigeria. *JOMSIGN: Journal of Multicultural Studies in Guidance and Counseling*, 8(2), 125-138. [ejournal.upi.edu. https://doi.org/10.17509/jomsign.v8i2.60934](https://ejournal.upi.edu.https://doi.org/10.17509/jomsign.v8i2.60934)

- Adeoye, M. A., Sulaimon, J. T., Iliasu, S. F., & Fawole, R. O. (2025). Evaluating the Efficacy of Early Intervention Programs for Children with Developmental Disorders. *Idarotuna: Journal of Administrative Science*, 6(1), 53-67. <https://doi.org/10.54471/idarotuna.v6i1.128>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Andersen, R. M. (1995). Revisiting the behavioral model and access to medical care: Does it matter? *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 36(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2137284>
- Au, A. H. C., Shum, K. K. M., Cheng, Y., Tse, H. M. Y., Wong, R. M. F., Li, J., & Au, T. K. F. (2021). Autism spectrum disorder screening in preschools. *Autism*, 25(2), 516-528. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320967529>
- Aylward, B. S., Gal-Szabo, D. E., & Taraman, S. (2021). Racial, ethnic, and sociodemographic disparities in the diagnosis of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioural*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0000000000000996>
- Bakare, M. O., & Munir, K. M. (2011). Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) in Africa: A perspective. *African Journal of Psychiatry*, 14(3), 208–210. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajpsy.v14i3.7>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 18(3), 328–352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>
- Bricker, D. D., Felimban, H. S., Lin, F. Y., Stegenga, S. M., & Storie, S. O. M. (2022). A proposed framework for enhancing collaboration in early intervention/early childhood special education. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 41(4), 240-252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121419890683>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674028845>
- Carnoy, M., & Marachi, R. (2020). Investing for "Impact" or Investing for Profit? Social Impact Bonds, Pay for Success, and the Next Wave of Privatisation of Social Services and Education. National Education Policy Centre.
- Carrington, L., Hale, L., Freeman, C., Qureshi, A., & Perry, M. (2021). Family-centred care for children with Biopsychosocial Support needs: a scoping review. *Disabilities*, 1(4), 301-330. <https://doi.org/10.3390/disabilities1040022>
- Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20792-1_40
- Saharoy, R., Potdukhe, A., Wanjari, M., & Taksande, A. B. (2023). Postpartum depression and maternal care: Exploring the complex effects on mothers and infants. *Cureus*, 15(7). <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.41381>
- Chlebowski, C., Hurwich-Reiss, E., Wright, B., & Brookman-Frazee, L. (2020). Using stakeholder perspectives to guide systematic adaptation of an autism mental health intervention for Latinx families: A qualitative study. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 48(4), 1194-1214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22296>
- Colizzi, M., Lasalvia, A., & Ruggeri, M. (2020). Prevention and early intervention in youth mental health: Is it time for a multidisciplinary and trans-diagnostic model for care? *International journal of mental health systems*, 14, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00356-9>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Cullinan, J., Flannery, D., Harold, J., Lyons, S., & Palcic, D. (2021). The disconnected: COVID 19 and disparities in access to quality broadband for higher education students.

- International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00262-1>
- Daniels, A. M., & Mandell, D. S. (2014). Explaining differences in age at autism spectrum diagnosis: A critical review. *Autism*, 18(5), 583–597. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361313480277>
- Davis, E. E., & Sojourner, A. (2021). Increasing federal investment in children’s early care and education to raise quality, access, and affordability. Washington. DC: Hamilton Project.
- Dawson, G., Rogers, S., Munson, J., Smith, M., Winter, J., Greenon, J., ... Varley, J. (2010). Randomized, controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: The Early Start Denver Model. *Pediatrics*, 125(1), e17–e23. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0958>
- De Lima, J. S., Pereira, R. A., & Amaral, O. (2023). Diagnostic pathways for children with developmental disorders: Barriers and facilitators in low-resource settings. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1120345. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1120345>
- De Lima, T. A., Zuanetti, P. A., Nunes, M. E. N., & Hamad, A. P. A. (2023). Differential diagnosis between autism spectrum disorder and other developmental disorders with emphasis on the preschool period. *World Journal of Paediatrics*, 19(8), 715-726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-022-00629-y>
- Fitzpatrick, P. J. (2023). Improving health literacy using the power of digital communications to achieve better health outcomes for patients and practitioners. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 5, 1264780. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2023.1264780>
- Fuller, E. A., & Kaiser, A. P. (2020). The effects of early intervention on social communication outcomes for children with autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 50(5), 1683-1700. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03927-z>
- Genovese, A., & Butler, M. G. (2020). Clinical assessment, genetics, and treatment approaches in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(13), 4726. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134726>
- Guralnick, M. J. (2011). Why early intervention works: A systems perspective. *Infants & Young Children*, 24(1), 6–28. <https://doi.org/10.1097/IYC.0b013e3182002cfe>
- Habibat B.A. (2025). Exploring the Challenges and Benefits of Culturally -Responsive Education in Nigeria: Bridging Tradition and Modernity in the Classroom. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Review*, 8(3), 583–591. <https://doi.org/10.23887/ijerr.v8i3.91464>
- Hadders-Algra, M. (2021). Early diagnostics and early intervention in neurodevelopmental disorders age-dependent challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(4), 861. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10040861>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis*. Cengage.
- Hudock, R. L., & Esler, A. N. (2022). Clinical considerations when conducting diagnostic evaluations to identify autism spectrum disorder in young children. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 36(5), 921-942. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2022.2025907>
- Iruka, I. U., Cabrera, N., & Páez, M. (2022). Supporting and engaging with diverse families during the early years: Emerging approaches that matter for children and families. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 60, 390-393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2022.04.001>
- Jeong, J., Franchett, E. E., Ramos de Oliveira, C. V., Rehmani, K., & Yousafzai, A. K. (2021). Parenting interventions to promote early child development in the first three years of life: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 18(5), e1003602. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003602>

- Kamilu, M. O., Baba, A. O., Abdulkareem, H.B., (2022). Influence of Quality Early Childhood Education Centres on Social Interaction of Pre-Primary School Pupils in Kwara State, *Journal of General Education and Humanities* 1(3), 139-148. <https://doi.org/10.58421/gehu.v1i3.34>
- Kube, A. R., Das, S., & Fowler, P. J. (2023). Fair and efficient allocation of scarce resources based on predicted outcomes: implications for homeless service delivery. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 76, 1219–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.12847>
- Kube, A., Das, S., & Fowler, P. J. (2019, July). Allocating interventions based on predicted outcomes: A case study on homelessness services. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 33(1), 622–629. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v33i01.3301622>
- Lipkin, P.H., Macias, M.M., Norwood, K.W., Brei, T.J., Davidson, L.F., Davis, B.E., Ellerbeck, K.A., Houtrow, A.J., Hyman, S.L., Kuo, D.Z. & Noritz, G.H. (2020). Promoting optimal development: identifying infants and young children with developmental disorders through developmental surveillance and screening. *Pediatrics*, 145(1). <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-3449>
- Liss, J.L., Seleri Assunção, S., Cummings, J., Atri, A., Geldmacher, D.S., Candela, S.F., Devanand, D.P., Fillit, H.M., Susman, J., Mintzer, J. & Bittner, T. (2021). Practical recommendations for timely, accurate diagnosis of symptomatic Alzheimer’s disease (MCI and dementia) in primary care: a review and synthesis. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 290(2), 310-334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13244>
- Lord, C., Brugha, T.S., Charman, T., Cusack, J., Dumas, G., Frazier, T., Jones, E.J., Jones, R.M., Pickles, A., State, M.W. & Taylor, J.L. (2020). Autism spectrum disorder. *Nature reviews Disease primers*, 6(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0138-4>
- Nguyen-Finn, K. L. (2024). History of treatment toward persons with psychiatric disabilities. *The psychological and social impact of chronic illness and disability*, 37–54. <https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826151117.0003>
- Ogo-oluwa, A. A., YUSUF, J. & Abdulkareem, H.B. (2025). Experience of Wards from Divorced Family Living with Step-Parents among Student of Upper Basic School in Ilorin Metropolis. *Journal of Educational research and Review*. <https://doi.org/10.23887/ijerr.v8i3.92338>
- Okoye, C., Obialo-Ibeawuchi, C.M., Obajeun, O.A., Sarwar, S., Tawfik, C., Waleed, M.S., Wasim, A.U., Mohamoud, I., Afolayan, A.Y. & Mbaezue, R.N. (2023). Early diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: A review and analysis of the risks and benefits. *Cureus*, 15(8). <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.43226>
- Olusanya, B. O., Davis, A. C., & Wertlieb, D. (2018). Global child health and developmental disabilities. *Pediatrics*, 141(2), e20171409. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-4055>
- Paediatrics, 42(8), 682-689. Ball, A., Skrzypek, C., & Lynch, M. (2021). The family engagement practice framework: A comprehensive framework developed from the voices of school-based practitioners. *Family Relations*, 70(4), 1190-1205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12513>
- Page, M. J., et al. (2021). PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: Updated guidance and exemplars. *BMJ*, 372(n71). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Papadopoulos, D. (2021). Mothers’ experiences and challenges raising a child with autism spectrum disorder: A qualitative study. *Brain sciences*, 11(3), <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11030309>
- Rogers, S.J., Estes, A., Vismara, L., Munson, J., Zierhut, C., Greenson, J., Dawson, G., Rocha, M., Sugar, C., Senturk, D. & Whelan, F. (2019). Enhancing low-intensity coaching in parent-implemented Early Start Denver Model intervention for early autism: A

- randomised comparison treatment trial. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 49, 632–646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3740-5>
- Rong, Y., Yang, C. J., Jin, Y., & Wang, Y. (2021). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 83, 101759. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101759>
- Rosen, N. E., Lord, C., & Volkmar, F. R. (2021). The diagnosis of autism: From Kanner to DSM III to DSM-5 and beyond. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 51, 4253–4270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-04904-1>
- Rosenstock, I. M. (1966). Why people use health services. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly*, 44(3), 94–127. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3348967>
- Rubin, I. L., Coles, C. D., Barnhill, J., Coles, C. D., & Barnhill, J. (2023). Behavioural and Mental Health Disorders (Including Attentional Disorders). In *Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics: Genetic and Environmental Influences* (655–674). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20792-1_40
- Rubin, K. H., Cheah, C. S., & Fox, N. A. (2023). Developmental pathways in children with attention and social difficulties: Implications for early intervention. *Child Development Perspectives*, 17(1), 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12486>
- Saharoy, R., Patra, S., & Mishra, A. (2023). Barriers to early diagnosis and intervention for children with developmental disorders in South Asia. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 83, 103539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2023.103539>
- Smythe, T., Zuurmond, M., Tann, C. J., Gladstone, M., & Kuper, H. (2021). Early intervention for children with developmental disabilities in low and middle-income countries—the case for action. *International health*, 13(3), 222–231. <https://doi.org/10.1093/inthealth/ihaa044>
- Stodden, D.F., Pesce, C., Zarrett, N., Tomporowski, P., Ben-Soussan, T.D., Brian, A., Abrams, T.C. & Weist, M.D. (2023). Holistic functioning from a developmental perspective: A new synthesis with a focus on a multi-tiered system support structure. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 26(2), 343–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-023-00428-5>
- Suleiman, Y., Abdulkareem, H.B., Popoola, B.O (2023). The Impact of Quality Early Childhood Education Centers on Preschoolers Social Interaction, *Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research* 3(1), 107-116. <https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/IJOMR/article/download/51446/20341>
- Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach’s alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in Science Education*, 48(6), 1273–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2>
- UNICEF. (2020). Early Childhood Development. Retrieved from <https://www.unicef.org/early-childhood-development>
- Waddington, H., van der Meer, L., & Sigafos, J. (2021). Supporting parents in the use of the early start Denver model as an intervention program for their young children with autism spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 67(1), 23–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20473869.2019.1585694>
- Waid, J., & Kelly, M. (2020). Supporting family engagement with child and adolescent mental health services: A scoping review. *Health & social care in the community*, 28(5), 1333–1342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12947>
- Wakschlag, L.S., Roberts, M.Y., Flynn, R.M., Smith, J.D., Krogh-Jespersen, S., Kaat, A.J., Gray, L., Walkup, J., Marino, B.S., Norton, E.S. & Davis, M.M. (2019). Future directions for early childhood prevention of mental disorders: A road map to mental health, earlier.

- Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 48(3), 539-554.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2018.1561296>
- Xu, Y. (2020). Engaging families of young children with disabilities through family-school community partnerships. *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(12), 1959-1968.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2018.1552950>
- Yoshikawa, H., Wuermli, A.J., Britto, P.R., Dreyer, B., Leckman, J.F., Lye, S.J., Ponguta, L.A., Richter, L.M. & Stein, A. (2020). Effects of the global coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on early childhood development: short-and long-term risks and mitigating program and policy actions. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 223, 188-193.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.05.020>
- Yusuf, H. T., & Abdulkareem, H. B. (2024). Family engagement and early intervention for developmental disorders: A Nigerian perspective. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 14(2), 85–97.
- Yusuf, J., & Abdulkareem, H. B., (2024). Exploring the Dimensions of Marital Satisfaction among Teachers in Kwara State: A Holistic Review and Implications for Counsellors and Educators. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ilmu Sosial*, 33(2) (2024) 141-148. [ejournal.upi.edu. https://doi.org/10.17509/jpis.v33i2.69444](https://doi.org/10.17509/jpis.v33i2.69444)
- Yusuf, J., Esere, M. O., Hassan, Abdulhafis Adeyinka, Abdulkareem, H.B. (2025). Demographic factors influencing emotional health among primary school teachers in Kwara State. *Indonesia Journal of Educational research and Review*.
- Zwaigenbaum, L., Bauman, M. L., Choueiri, R., Kasari, C., Carter, A., Granpeesheh, D., ... Wagner, S. (2015). Early intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder under 3 years of age: Recommendations for practice and research. *Pediatrics*, 136(Supplement 1), S60–S81. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-3667E>